

Is There Any Added Value of the Akin Osteotomy in Hallux Valgus Corrective Surgery?

An Analysis of Patient-Centered Outcomes in 92 Subjects

Jeeten Singha, DPM, AACFAS.

Past Fellow, Weil Foot, Ankle & Orthopedic Institute

Foot & Ankle Surgeon, Connecticut Orthopaedic Specialists

Associate Professor of Surgery, Yale New Haven Hospital/Yale University School of Medicine

Nate Shane, DPM, AACFAS, Shruti Dosi, DPM, MPH, Matthew D. Sorensen, DPM, FACFAS, Lowell Weil Jr., DPM, FACFAS, Lowell Scott Weil Sr., DPM, FACFAS, Erin E. Klein, DPM, MS, FACFAS, Adam E. Fleischer, DPM, MPH, FACFAS



THE NATIONAL

APMA ANNUAL SCIENTIFIC MEETING

SALT LAKE CITY | JULY 11-14, 2019



**Connecticut
Orthopaedic
Specialists**



FOOT & ANKLE INSTITUTE



**Yale University
School of Medicine**

Disclosure

- Please see program for full disclosure of all the authors

Objectives

- Added Value of an Akin?
- Is it necessary?
- Are the benefits purely cosmetic?
- Function benefits of Akin to Hallux Valgus surgery

Introduction

- Hallux valgus among most common pathologies for foot and ankle surgeons¹
- Akin² (1925) - relatively popular procedure
- Suboptimal in isolation for many HV deformities³⁻⁶ and fallen out of favor as of late⁷⁻⁸
- Superior results when performed in conjunction with first ray procedure⁹⁻¹⁵
- Proponents of the adjunctive Akin osteotomy:
 - More rectus appearing hallux
 - Maintain deformity correction and mitigate recurrence
 - Alters mechanics by medializing the long flexor and extensors of the hallux^{6,9,16}
- Few studies comparing outcomes of HV correction with and without the Akin

Introduction – Literature

Lechler et al.¹⁷ in 2012

- Prospectively compared chevron and chevron-Akin
- Follow up 1.04 to 1.37 years
- Radiographic and clinical outcome measures based on AOFAS scores
- Slightly favorable results for the chevron-Akin group

Shibuya et al.¹⁸ in 2016

- Radiographic comparison between first ray procedures with and without Akin
- Improved immediate radiographic deformity correction with Akin
- However, no significant difference at > 6 months postop

Purpose

- No studies examining whether an adjunctive Akin osteotomy improves hallux valgus outcomes from the **patient's perspective**
- Present study aims to investigate whether patients undergoing adjunctive Akin osteotomy for hallux valgus surgery experienced improved pain, function, and quality of life compared to those that did **NOT** undergo an Akin osteotomy

Patients & Methods

- Retrospective cohort study of consecutive patients undergoing HV correction
(Jan 2013 – Dec 2015)

- **Inclusion Criteria:**
 - Scarf or Scarf/Akin osteotomy
 - Baseline FAOS scores in institutional database
 - Final follow up with FAOS data \geq 1 year postop

Patients & Methods

- IRB review with exempt determination granted
- Study population identified and divided into **two groups**:
 - Scarf osteotomy
 - Scarf/Akin osteotomies
- Demographic, pre- and post-op radiographic and FAOS data gathered
- FAOS scale - validated patient-centered outcome measure in hallux valgus surgery
 - Pain, symptoms, function – sports & rec, function – ADLs, and quality of life¹⁹

Analyses

➤ Statistical analysis

- Independent T-test to test for between-group differences
- Paired T-test to test for within-group differences
- p-value < 0.05 considered statistically significant

Results

- 92 patients (92 feet) met **inclusion criteria**
 - Scarf osteotomy group (n=26)
 - Scarf/Akin osteotomy group (n=66)

- **Mean follow up:** 57.4 ± 11.7 weeks

- All procedures performed by one of four surgeons
 - 87 of 92 procedures (95%) performed by two surgeons (LWJ, LSW)

- 24 (36%) in Scarf/Akin group had concomitant lesser metatarsal osteotomies

- No additional procedures performed in Scarf osteotomy group



Results – Demographics

- No significant group differences found for age, BMI, gender, or presence of bilateral foot surgery

| | Scarf Osteotomy N=26 | Scarf plus Akin N=66 | p-value |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Age (yrs) | 48.3 ± 12.6 | 53.4 ± 13.3 | 0.095 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 24.3 ± 3.1 | 25.2 ± 3.7 | 0.278 |
| Female gender (y/n) | 25 (96%) | 62 (94%) | 0.673 |
| Bilateral surgery (y/n) | 17 (65%) | 48 (73%) | 0.486 |

Results – Baseline FAOS Scores

➤ No significant group differences in any of the 5 subscales

| FAOS Subscale Scores | Scarf Osteotomy N=26 | Scarf plus Akin N=66 | p-value |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Pain | 70.6 ± 16.6 | 70.2 ± 19.5 | 0.924 |
| Symptoms | 81.7 ± 14.9 | 81.3 ± 15.5 | 0.911 |
| ADL | 82.1 ± 17.3 | 80.2 ± 20.1 | 0.687 |
| Sports/Rec | 66.5 ± 25.9 | 69.8 ± 23.3 | 0.554 |
| QoL | 49.0 ± 22.1 | 50.3 ± 16.8 | 0.754 |

Results – Baseline Radiographic Variables

➤ No significant group differences with exception of HAI angle

| Radiographic Angles | Scarf Osteotomy N=26 | Scarf plus Akin N=66 | p-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| HA angle (°) | 24.0 ± 10.3 | 28.1 ± 8.1 | 0.051 |
| 1 st /2 nd IM angle (°) | 11.7 ± 4.1 | 13.0 ± 3.5 | 0.122 |
| HAI angle (°) | 8.0 ± 3.5 | 10.5 ± 3.5 | 0.003* |
| TSP | 4.5 ± 1.7 | 4.8 ± 1.4 | 0.319 |
| MA angle (°) | 25.7 ± 5.1 | 25.4 ± 6.0 | 0.764 |
| MPD (mm) | -3.7 ± 2.6 | -2.9 ± 3.1 | 0.239 |

Results – Final FAOS Scores

- Both groups with significant improvement in FAOS scores
- No significant group differences in any of the 5 subscales (even when those receiving concomitant procedures were excluded)

| FAOS Subscale Scores | Scarf Osteotomy N=26 | Scarf plus Akin N=66 | p-value |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Pain | 89.6 ± 12.5 | 86.1 ± 14.6 | 0.247 |
| Symptoms | 89.1 ± 13.2 | 86.8 ± 12.4 | 0.450 |
| ADL | 93.9 ± 13.6 | 93.5 ± 9.6 | 0.903 |
| Sports/Rec | 92.0 ± 10.5 | 87.0 ± 17.5 | 0.179 |
| QoL | 81.3 ± 22.8 | 76.4 ± 23.0 | 0.362 |

Results – Final Radiographic Variables

➤ No significant difference at final follow up between groups

| Radiographic Angles | Scarf Osteotomy N=26 | Scarf plus Akin N=66 | p-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| HA angle (°) | 6.8 ± 7.3 | 9.5 ± 8.8 | 0.185 |
| 1 st /2 nd IM angle (°) | 4.8 ± 2.3 | 5.9 ± 3.0 | 0.104 |
| HAI angle (°) | 8.5 ± 2.8 | 8.8 ± 3.2 | 0.654 |
| TSP | 2.6 ± 1.3 | 2.6 ± 1.8 | 0.973 |
| MPD (mm) | -5.9 ± 2.7 | -4.9 ± 3.5 | 0.176 |

Results

➤ Total of 5 complications

- Hallux varus in the Scarf osteotomy group (n=2, 7.69%)
- Hallux varus in the Scarf/Akin group (n=3, 4.55%)
- No significant difference between groups

➤ Radiographic **recurrence**, defined as **HAA > 20°**

- 2/26 subjects in the Scarf only group
- 0/66 subjects in the Scarf/Akin group
- No significant difference between groups

Discussion

- This study represents the first attempt to examine the effects of an **Akin osteotomy** on patient-centered outcomes after hallux valgus surgery
- **No** clearly observed **benefit** with the Akin osteotomy
- We did not find any meaningful difference in radiographic correction or maintenance of correction at final follow up

Discussion – Limitations

- Possible selection bias and not perfectly comparable groups
Slightly higher preop HAI in Scarf/Akin group
- Akin allowed for added correction for these slightly greater deformities, possibly explaining why no obvious differences in FAOS scores (however, radiographic differences between groups were quite small)
- Expect to see greater FAOS scales if Akin was truly beneficial from the patient's perspective, particularly foot-related QoL scale¹⁹
- Possible response bias – those completing FAOS surveys more likely at the extremes
- Longer follow up ideal to see proposed upsides with the Akin (e.g. less recurrence)

Discussion

- While we routinely perform adjunctive Akin osteotomies along with a primary first ray procedure, the indication is generally to create a more **cosmetically appealing great toe rather than to improve function or help mitigate recurrence**

- Our preliminary findings would appear to support the notion that there **may be little added benefit beyond aesthetics when adding the Akin osteotomy**

AAOS Now

Published 5/1/2019 | Terry Stanton



Clinical Special Coverage Quality & Research Foot & Ankle

Little Benefit for Akin Osteotomy in Hallux Valgus Surgery Beyond the Cosmetic

A study presented at the AAOS Annual Meeting looking to gauge the added value of Akin osteotomy in hallux valgus corrective surgery has found no benefit to the procedure, such as improved function, beyond a more appealing aesthetic result.

Although the Akin phalangeal osteotomy is commonly utilized to enhance the clinical appearance of the great toe in hallux valgus surgery, it is unclear whether this additional procedure also improves postoperative patient-reported outcomes.

Jeeten Singha, DPM, past foot and ankle surgery fellow at the Weil Foot and Ankle Institute, who presented the study, said, "During my fellowship, we did hundreds of bunion surgeries, and we would make the clinical decision to add an Akin osteotomy, mostly intraoperatively. We were curious to see if the addition of an Akin osteotomy has any benefits in patient outcome and aesthetics."

The researchers retrospectively reviewed the records of 92 patients (92 feet; mean age, 45 ± 13 years) who underwent bunion surgery via scarf or scarf/Akin osteotomy at the Weil Foot and Ankle Institute between January 2013 and December 2015. All patients had Foot

References

1. O’Kane C, Kilmartin TE. The rotation Scarf and Akin osteotomy for the correction of severe hallux valgus. *Foot Ankle Int.* 12:203-212, 2002.
2. Akin O. The treatment of hallux valgus: a new operative procedure and its results. *Medical Sentinel* 33:678, 1925.
3. Goldberg I, Bahar A, Yosipovitch Z. Late results after correction of hallux valgus deformity by basical phalangeal osteotomy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 69:64-67, 1987.
4. Steinbock G, Leder K. The Akin-new method for surgery of hallux valgus: 1-year results of a covered surgical method. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb* 126:420-424, 1988.
5. Plattner PF, Van Manen JW. Results of Akin type proximal phalangeal osteotomy for correction of hallux valgus deformity. *Orthopedics* 13:989-996, 1990.
6. Frey C, Jahss M, Kummer FJ. The Akin procedure: an analysis of results. *Foot Ankle* 12:1-6. 1991.
7. Pinney, Song KR, Chou LB. Surgical treatment of mild hallux valgus deformity: the state of practice among academic foot and ankle surgeons. *Foot Ankle Int* 27:970-973, 2006.
8. Pinney S, Song KR, Chou LB. Surgical treatment of severe hallux valgus: the state of practice among academic foot and ankle surgeons. *Foot Ankle Int* 27:1024-1029, 2006.
9. Mitchell LA, Baxter DE. A chevron-Akin double osteotomy for correction of hallux valgus. *Foot Ankle* 12:7, 1991.
10. Tollison ME, Baxter DE. Combination chevron plus Akin osteotomy for hallux valgus: should age be a limiting factor? *Foot Ankle Int* 18:477-481, 1997.
11. Roukis TS, Hallux proximal phalanx Akin-Scarf osteotomy. *J Am Pod Med Assoc* 94:70-72, 2004.
12. Garrido IM, Rubio ER, Bosch MN, Gonzalez MS, Paz GB, Llabres AJ. Scarf and Akin osteotomies for moderate and severe hallux valgus: clinical and radiographic results. *Foot Ankle Surg* 14:194-203, 2008.
13. Kerr HI, Jackson R, Kothari P. Scarf-Akin osteotomy correction for hallux valgus: short-term results from a district general hospital. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 49:16-19, 2010.
14. Jung HG, Kim TH, Park JT, Shin MH, Lee SH. Proximal reverse chevron metatarsal osteotomy, lateral soft tissue release, and Akin osteotomy through a single medial incision for hallux valgus. *Foot Ankle Int* 35:368-373, 2014.
15. Al-Nammari SS, Christofi T, Clark C. Double first metatarsal and Akin osteotomy for severe hallux valgus. *Foot Ankle Int* 36:1215-1222, 2015.
16. Douthett SM, Plaskey NK, Fallat LM, Kish J. Retrospective analysis of the akin osteotomy. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 57:38-43, 2018.
17. Lechler P, Feldmann C, Kock FX, Schaumburger J, Grifka J, Handel M. Clinical outcome after chevron-Akin double osteotomy versus isolated chevron procedure: a prospective matched group analysis. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 132:9-13, 2012.

References cont.

18. Shibuya N, Thorud JC, Martin LR, Plemmons BS, Jupiter DC. Evaluation of hallux valgus correction with versus without Akin proximal phalanx osteotomy. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 55:910-914, 2016.
19. Chen L, Lyman S, Do H, Karlsson J, Adam SP, Young E, Deland JT, Ellis SJ. Validation of foot and ankle outcome score for hallux valgus. *Foot Ankle Int* 12:1145-1155, 2012.
20. Hardy RH, Clapham JC. Observations on hallux valgus: based on a controlled series. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 33-B:376-391, 1951.
21. Engel E, Erlick N, Krems I. A simplified metatarsus adductus angle. *J Am Podiatry Assoc* 73:620-628, 1983.
22. Nilsson H. Hallux rigidus and its treatment. *Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica* 1:295, 1930.

Thank You!